	Case 1:21-cv-00657-HBK Documer	at 3 Filed 04/23/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TODD ROBBEN,	1:21-cv-00657-HBK (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ODDED TO ANGEEDDING CASE TO THE
13	v.	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (Doc. No. 1)
14	KATHLEEN ALLISON,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17		

Petitioner Todd Robben is a state parolee and is proceeding *pro se* on his petition for writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 on April 20, 2021. (Doc. No. 1). Petitioner paid the \$5.00 filing fee. (Reciept No. CAE1000481). Although petition is currently under the supervision of Kern County, which is located within the jurisdiction and venue of this court's Fresno Division, the petition challenges petitioner's state sentence and conviction that was entered by the El Dorado County Superior Court, which is located within the jurisdiction and venue of the Sacramento Division of this court. (*Id.* at 1). Petitioner concedes that his petition should have been filed in the Sacramento Division but was filed in the Fresno Division in order that it be timely filed. (*Id.* at 7-8).

Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), jurisdiction is proper in the judicial district where the petitioner was convicted or where the petitioner is incarcerated (here, serving parole). Therefore,

Case 1:21-cv-00657-HBK Document 3 Filed 04/23/21 Page 2 of 2

1	both the Sacramento Division and the Fresno Division of the Eastern District of California have		
2	concurrent jurisdiction. See 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); Rumsfeld v. Padilla, 542 U.S. 426, 428 (2004).		
3	However, "[f]or the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the interest of justice, a district court		
4	may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought."		
5	28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). Federal courts in California generally hear petitions for writ of habeas		
6	corpus in the district of conviction. Favor v. California, No. 116-CV-01912-DAD-EPG-HC,		
7	2017 WL 2671006, at *1 (E.D. Cal. June 21, 2017) (citing Laue v. Nelson, 279 F. Supp. 265, 266		
8	(N.D. Cal. 1968). The court finds in its discretion "and in furtherance of justice" the petition		
9	should be transferred to the Sacramento Division of the Eastern District of California. 28 U.S.C.		
10	§§ 1404(a), 2241(d).		
11	Accordingly, it is ORDERED:		
12	1. The Clerk shall transfer this case to the United States District Court for the Eastern		
13	District of California, Sacramento Division; and		
14	2. All future filings shall reference the new case number assigned and shall be filed at:		
15			
16	United States District Court Eastern District of California		
17	Sacramento Division 501 I Street, Room 4-200		
18	Sacramento, CA 95814		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20			
21	Dated: April 22, 2021 HELENA M. BARCH-KUCHTA		
22	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			